

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/510,087	02/22/2000	Paul A. Smith	EVS-P-99-017	2705
75	590 01/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Patents+TMS			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
A Professional	Corporation			
1914 N Milwaukee Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3rd Floor			3751	
Chicago, IL 6	0647			

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/510,087	SMITH, PAUL A.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUNICA	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ety filed will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8,12,13 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9,11,14 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Martin et al. (hereinafter Martin). Fischer discloses a marking device having a barrel and sheath wrapped around the barrel wherein the sheath overlaps onto itself. Although the Fischer reference does not disclose an end cap and a connecting chain for securing the marking device, attention is directed to the Martin reference, which discloses another marking instrument 16 wherein an end cap 15 and a connecting chain 14 are used to secure the device when not in use. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such an end cap and chain onto the marking device in the Fischer reference in order to enable that device to be secured when not in use.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Martin and Jones. As discussed supra, Fischer and Martin disclose the claimed marking instrument, sheath, end cap and connecting lead. Further, the method as claimed is inherent in the usage of the device discussed above. Although the sheath in the Fischer reference is not disclosed as being coated with an antimicrobial

Application/Control Number: 09/510,087 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

substance, attention is directed to the Jones reference, which discloses another sheath for the marking instrument wherein the sheath is coated with such a substance in order to render the device sanitary (Although the Jones reference discloses that the sheath is molded with the substance and not coated with the substance, in order for the substance to be effective, some of the substance must be present on the outside surface of the sheath and thereby the sheath is considered to be "coated" with the substance. Further, the Applicant has disclosed on page 7, lines 2-17 that the sheath can either be coated with the substance or the substance can be contained within the material of the sheath). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a substance onto the sheath in the Fischer device in order to render the sheath sanitary.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9, 11,14 and 21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 1/7/05